

LANDMARK CASES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA – RELIGIOUS FREEDOM AND EQUALITY

DOI:10.47743/rdc-2023-2-0006

1. Mohd. Ahmed Khan vs Shah Bano Begum and Ors¹

By: *Kaushiki Agarawal, Avani Sharma*
BBA LLB (B), 1st Year Faculty of Law, Manipal University Jaipur

I. Facts of the Case

In India, the Shah Bano case constituted a historic legal battle centered on how Muslim personal law should be interpreted and applied, particularly with reference to Muslim women's maintenance rights upon divorce. After 43 years of marriage, Shah Bano, a Muslim woman, got divorced from her husband in 1978. She petitioned her spouse for support under Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC).

The fundamental question in the case concerned whether Muslim women might still be covered by Section 125 of the CrPC's support provisions for their spouses, kids, and parents, even if Muslim communities have their own unique rules on marriage, divorce, and maintenance.

In a historic decision in 1985, the Supreme Court of India decided in Shah Bano's favor, stating that her right to maintenance under Section 125 of the CrPC overruled any personal laws that may apply to Muslims. The court ruled that Muslim women may seek remedy under secular law in matters of maintenance and that all Indian citizens, regardless of their faith, were entitled to the basic right to maintenance granted by Article 21 of the Indian Constitution.

On the other hand, conservative Muslim groups, which claimed that the court's ruling violated the Muslim community's religious autonomy and attempted to supersede Islamic personal regulations, provoked intense debate and protests in response to the judgment. The Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986, was passed by the Indian government in reaction to the criticism. It essentially overturned the Supreme Court's ruling and limited Muslim women's rights to maintenance.

The Shah 'Bano case, which emphasizes the conflict between gender equality, constitutional rights, and personal rules based on religion, is still relevant in India's legal and social discourse. It also highlights how intricately religion, the law, and social values interact in a multicultural culture such as India.

¹ AIR 1985 SC 945.

II. Relevant Provisions

The Supreme Court of India reached its ruling in the historic case of Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano by interpreting and applying a number of important legislative requirements. The pertinent clauses included in the formal legal terminology are listed below: A magistrate of the first class may order a person who possesses adequate means to pay maintenance to his wife in the event that she is unable to support herself. This is stipulated in Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC). The Supreme Court upheld the validity of this provision for Muslim women, highlighting its gender neutrality and the main objective of giving impoverished husbands food.

- **Personal rules:** The case highlighted the inconsistency between the Muslim community's personal rules governing marriage, divorce, and maintenance and the implementation of legislative requirements like Section 125 of the CrPC. The idea that statutory requirements pertaining to maintenance supersede conflicting personal laws where they offer more protection to disadvantaged parties – like divorced Muslim women seeking maintenance – was emphasized by the Supreme Court's ruling.

- **Fundamental Principles:** The Indian Constitution guarantees the right to equality (Article 14) as well as the right to life and personal liberty (Article 21). The ruling made reference to these and other fundamental principles. According to the Court, Shah Bano's fundamental rights would be violated and gender discrimination would continue if her maintenance was denied due to her religion alone. Therefore, to guarantee equality and justice for all people, regardless of their religious affiliation, the interpretation and application of statute laws must be in line with constitutional values.

- **Judicial Interpretation:** The judiciary's role in maintaining the rule of law and guaranteeing access to justice for marginalized groups was shown by the Supreme Court's interpretation of Section 125 of the CrPC in the context of the Shah Bano case. The Court's broad reading of the clause demonstrated a purposeful strategy intended to achieve its fundamental goal of giving vulnerable spouses, regardless of their religious background, financial stability.

In general, the Shah Bano case serves as an example of the complex interactions of personal laws, statutory law, constitutional principles, and judicial interpretation that shape legal decisions that have significant effects on gender justice and social norms.

In summary, the Shah Bano case represents a turning point in Indian legal history by illuminating the intricate relationship between judicial interpretation, statute law, personal laws, and constitutional principles. The ruling by the Supreme Court upheld the general rule that laws like Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC), which are designed to support financially needy spouses, are applicable to all people, regardless of their religious beliefs.

Shah Bano's entitlement to maintenance under Section 125 was upheld by the Court, demonstrating that justice and female equality take precedence over religious beliefs. The verdict emphasized how discrimination would continue and basic rights protected by the Indian Constitution would be violated if maintenance was refused

just on the basis of religious personal rules. In addition, the Shah Bano case initiated important conversations and debates on the necessity of reforming Muslim personal laws in order to bring them into compliance with the equality and nondiscrimination principles included in the constitution. Despite the debate surrounding the ruling and the need for legislative changes, it is nevertheless seen as a turning point in the advancement of gender justice and the maintenance of India's legal system.

In the end, the Shah Bano case is a heartbreaking reminder of the judiciary's critical role in defending basic rights, encouraging social transformation, and guaranteeing that all individuals, regardless of their religious views, have equal access to justice.

III. Impact and Significance

The Shah Bano case caused significant controversies and prompted legislative initiatives in the legal, social, and political domains. It sparked conversations on the necessity of changing Muslim personal laws to better reflect the equality and justice principles included in the constitution. The case's lasting significance is in its endorsement of the judiciary's function as a guardian of basic rights and a force for social change, notwithstanding the controversy and legislative changes it brought about.

IV. Comments

Commenting on the case of Mohd. Ahmed Khan v. Shah Bano, it is obvious that the judgment rendered by the Incomparable Court of India marked an urgent minute within the advancement of family law within the nation. The Court's choice to maintain Shah Bano's right to upkeep beneath Area 125 of the Code of Criminal Method (CrPC) showcased a commendable commitment to the standards of sex uniformity, equity, and constitutionalism. The judgment serves as a capable update of the judiciary's vital part in shielding crucial rights and guaranteeing break even with treatment beneath the law, independent of devout association. By prioritizing the overarching targets of statutory arrangements and sacred orders over devout individual laws, the Court illustrated a dynamic approach pointed at tending to dug in imbalances and advancing social justice. Besides, the Shah Bano case catalyzed vital dialogs on the requirement for change in Muslim individual laws to adjust them with modern ideas of correspondence and non-discrimination. Whereas the case produced discussion and driven to authoritative revisions, its persevering bequest lies in its commitment to broader societal wrangles about on sexual orientation equity, devout opportunity, and the run the show of law. Generally, the Shah Bano case stands as a confirmation to the judiciary's capacity to effectuate positive alter and maintain protected values within to confront of complex lawful and social challenges. It serves as a signal of trust for marginalized people looking for to confront and underscores the persevering centrality of legal activism in progressing the cause of equity and correspondence for all individuals of society.